

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/550,963	04/17/2000		Mark McCulloch	41400-00002	1825
20322	7590	03/20/2006		EXAMINER	
SNELL &				DIXON, TH	IOMAS A
ONE ARIZO 400 EAST V			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PHOENIX,	AZ 8500	40001	3639		

DATE MAILED: 03/20/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/550,963	MCCULLOCH, MARK					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Thomas A. Dixon	3639					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address					
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING INSTRUCTION OF THE MAILING OF T	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply but will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fitte, cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. e timely filed rom the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133)					
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09.	lanuary 2006						
		is action is non-final.						
3)	,—							
,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims	,						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-11 and 32-34 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	Claim(s) <u>1-11, 32-34</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.						
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.						
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		e Examiner					
•—	Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E							
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigi ☐ All _ b)	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).					
۵۸۱	1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen		ation No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price.							
	application from the International Burea		.voa in uno realonal olago					
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a lis-		ived.					
		·						
Attachmen	t(s)							
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa	ary (PTO-413)					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail	Date					
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	al Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/550,963

Art Unit: 3639

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Terminal Disclaimer

1. The Terminal Disclaimer filed on 1/9/06 relating to Application No. 10/850,304 is acceptable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

- 2. Claims 1, 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The claimed invention is not within the technological arts.
- 3. For a claimed invention to be statutory, the claimed invention must produce a useful, tangible and concrete result. An invention which is eligible for patenting under 35 U.S.C 101, is in the "useful arts" when it is a machine, manufacture, process or composition of matter, which produces a useful, concrete and tangible result. The fundamental test for patent eligibility is thus to determine whether the climed invention produces a useful tangible and concrete result. See AT&T v. Excel Communications Inc., 172 F.3d at 1358, 50 USPQ 2d at 1452 and State Street Bank & Trust Co. v. Signature Financial Group, Inc., 149 F.3d at 1373, 47 USPQ 2d at 1601 (Fed. Cir. 1998). The test for practical application as applied by the examiner involves the determination of the following factors.
- a) "useful" The Supreme Court in Diamond v. Diehr requires that the examiner look at the claimed invention as a whole and compare any asserted utility with

Art Unit: 3639

the claimed invention to determine whether the asserted utility is accomplished.

Applying utility case law the examiner will not that::

- i. utility need not be expressly recited in the claims, rather it may be inferred.
- ii. if the utility is not asserted in the written description, then it must be well established.
- b) "tangible" Applying In re Warmerdam, 33 F.3d 1354, 31 UAPQ 2d 1754 Fed. Cir. 1994), the examiner will determine whether there is simply a mathematical construct claimed, such as a disembodied data structure and method of making it. If so, the claim involves no more than manipulation of an abstract idea and is, therefore, nonstatutory under 35 U.S.C 101. In Warmerdam, the abstract idea of a data structure became capable of producing a useful result when it was fixed in a tangible medium, which enabled its functionality to be realized.
- c) "concrete" Another consideration is whether the invention produces a "concrete" result. Usually, this question arises when a result cannot be assured. An appropriate rejection under 35 U.S.C 101 should be accompanied by a lack of enablement rejection, because the invention cannot operate as intended without undue experimentation.

In the present case, the claimed invention does not provide a tangible or concrete product.

Application/Control Number: 09/550,963 Page 4

Art Unit: 3639

Allowable Subject Matter

4. The claims would be allowable if the 101 rejections are overcome.

As per Claims 1 and 32.

The prior art of record, specifically Garback ('499) in view of DeLorme et al ('040) further in view of DeMarcken ('808) or Webber ('953) or Guenther ('748) does not disclose or fairly teach:

identifying a first airport, the first airport being within a first threshold measurement of the activity location, wherein the first threshold measurement comprises at least one of a walking distance, a set distance and a time threshold;

computing an optimal arrival time from the activity start time, the activity location and the first airport;

identifying a first departing flight associated with the first airport, the identified first departing flight associated with a flight arrival time and the identified first departing flight being between the origin location and the first airport;

wherein the flight arrival time of the identified first departing flight is prior to the optimal arrival time;

identifying an optimal ground transportation option between the first airport and the activity location; and

determining an optimal trip option for transportation from the origin location to the activity location, wherein the optimal option includes the first identified departing flight and the optimal ground transportation option.

The claims that depend from the above are allowable for the same reasons.

Art Unit: 3639

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas A. Dixon whose telephone number is (571) 272-6803. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 6:30 - 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Hayes can be reached on (571) 272-6708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thomas A. Dixon
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3639

March 06